

ITEM NO.22 + 55

COURT NO.6

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SWrit Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 1141/2019

RAHMATULLAH &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF BIHAR &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.135897/2019-EX-PARTE STAY and  
IA No.135900/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)WITH W.P.(C) NO.1157 OF 2019 (X)(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.137956/2019-STAY APPLICATION and  
IA No.137957/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 13-09-2019 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNAFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Salim Inamdar, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Sehgal, Adv.  
Ms. Pragya Baghel, AOR  
Mr. Abhishek Thakral, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The writ petitions have been filed invoking Article 32 of the Constitution of India seeking writ of certiorari to call for the records and quash the termination letters and issue a writ of mandamus directing the State of Bihar to reinstate the petitioners with full back-wages.

We have heard Mr. Salim Inamdar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

We are not inclined to entertain the writ petitions in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioners to work out the remedy in accordance with law.

As and when such a writ petition is filed before the High Court, we request the High Court to take up and dispose of the same expeditiously.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)  
BRANCH OFFICER