

REVISED

ITEM NO.3 Court 8 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 20633/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-05-2019 in DBCWP No. 18293/2018 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, Bench at Jaipur)

MANOJ KUMAR LOVEVANSHI & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS. Respondent(s)
(IA No. 107807/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 107808/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 16-11-2020 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Dave, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Gautam Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashish Kumar, AAG
 Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for exemption from filing official translation is allowed.

In the course of hearing, it transpires that the Union of India would be a necessary party and thus, we direct impleadment of the Union of India as respondent No.12 through the Secretary, Ministry of Labour and Employment.

Amended memo of parties be filed within three days.

Let notice issue to the newly impleaded respondent.

IA No. 107807/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

Notice which is accepted by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

We have heard learned counsel for parties.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners expresses an apprehension that in view of the recruitment process on, the petitioners may be faced with a *fait accompli* and there may be no posts left to be filled in. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents submits that this apprehension is misplaced as there are still large number of posts and if the petitioners succeed, there will be no difficulty in accommodating them. He, however, submits that the advertisement in question does not even pertain to three of the petitioners i.e. petitioner No.5-Avdhesh Kumar, petitioner No.12-Kamlesh Kumar Sopal, Mr. and petitioner No.16-Mr.Dharamveer Singh.

We take the aforesaid statements on record and accordingly dispose of the application.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ITEM NO.3 Court 8 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 20633/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-05-2019 in DBCWP No. 18293/2018 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, Bench at Jaipur)

MANOJ KUMAR LOVEVANSHI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 107807/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 107808/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 16-11-2020 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Dave, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Gautam Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashish Kumar, AAG
 Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for exemption from filing official translation is allowed.

In the course of hearing, it transpires that the Union of India would be a necessary party and thus, we direct impleadment of the Union of India as respondent No.4 through the Secretary, Ministry of Labour and Employment.

Amended memo of parties be filed within three days.

Let notice issue to the newly impleaded respondent.

IA No. 107807/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

Notice which is accepted by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

We have heard learned counsel for parties.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners expresses an apprehension that in view of the recruitment process on, the petitioners may be faced with a *fait accompli* and there may be no posts left to be filled in. On the other hand, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents submits that this apprehension is misplaced as there are still large number of posts and if the petitioners succeed, there will be no difficulty in accommodating them. He, however, submits that the advertisement in question does not even pertain to three of the petitioners i.e. petitioner No.5-Avdhesh Kumar, petitioner No.12-Kamlesh Kumar Sopal, Mr. and petitioner No.16-Mr.Dharamveer Singh.

We take the aforesaid statements on record and accordingly dispose of the application.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR