

ITEM NO.23 Court 1 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrL.) No(s).9977/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-12-2021 in MCRC No.59376/2021 passed by the High Court of M.P. at Indore)

ROHITBHAI PATEL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.167408/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.167412/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.167832/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.167831/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 06-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddhartha Dave, Sr.Adv.
 Ms. Aastha Mehta, Adv.
 Mr. Ravi Pahwa, Adv.
 Ms. Prerna Mohapatra, Adv.
 Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

During the course of hearing, it has been stated by the learned senior counsel that the petitioner's name has neither been mentioned in the FIR nor in the chargesheet and because of apprehension, his client has approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court dismissing the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner herein.

.....2/-

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed as premature.

However, taking into consideration the totality of facts and circumstances of the instant case, we grant liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedy if any cause of action arises.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)