



examining survey numbers at the relevant point of time no mining was found to be carried out by the company other than for which the fine was imposed. Even today, it appears that no mining was carried out in other survey numbers, except what is stated below."

It is therefore apparent, that there was a clear determination during the enquiry, that besides the contravention of the area of the mining lease, with reference to which respondent no.13 had already paid a sum of Rs. 4.95 crores, there was no further violation at the hands of the respondent.

4. Thus viewed, we find no justification whatsoever to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.

5. The special leave petition is dismissed.

(Renuka Sadana)  
Assistant Registrar

(Parveen Kumar)  
AR-cum-PS