

ITEM NO.11 Court 15 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrL.) No(s).9413/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-06-2021 in CRLA No.212/2018 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur)

PRADEEP VYANKATESH BALPANDE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.158631/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 21-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and carefully perusing the material placed on record, we see no reason to interfere with the order impugned in the special leave petition.

However, in the proceedings under the Maharashtra Protection of Interest of Depositors Act, 1999 for distributing the assets of the company among depositors or in such other proceedings for recovery of his deposits, the petitioner would be at liberty to put forth his contention and produce material for the amount being due to him. In such an event, the same shall be considered on its merit and disposed of in accordance with law. The observation regarding non-production of receipt made in the impugned order shall not be a bar.

.....2/-

With the aforesaid liberty, the special leave petition stands disposed of.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)