

ITEM NO.23

Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No. 2011/2021 in Crl.A. No. 1004/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-09-2021
in Crl.A. No. No. 1004/2021 passed by the Supreme Court Of India)

DR. ATUL KRISHNA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.164049/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and
IA No.155499/2021-MODIFICATION)

Date : 03-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Supriya Juneja, AOR

Mr. K.K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Namita Choudhary, AOR

Ms. Srishti Khurana, Adv.

Ms. Kritika Khurana, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Raj Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Reetu Sharma, AOR

Mr. Nihal Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Neena Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Shukla, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe applicant through counsel tenders an
unconditional apology for having passed the order under

mistaken understanding, which came to be set aside by this Court vide order dated 25.08.2021 being perverse.

It is for the High Court on the administrative side to consider the explanation offered by the applicant whilst reckoning his past record.

Be it noted that the observation made in our order dated 25.08.2021 is only about the judicial approach of the Judge concerned, who had passed the order dated 18.08.2021.

It is for the applicant to persuade the High Court on the administrative side to take a compassionate view of the matter. The High Court may consider the same appropriately. We say no more

The miscellaneous application is disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)