

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 568 OF 2017
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.7102 of 2016)

AAMIR KHAN . . . APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHATTISGARH . . . RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. We have heard learned counsels for the parties.
3. The accused-appellant who is facing trial for the offences punishable under Sections 294, 506, 323, 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code is in custody since November, 2015. Though charges have been framed, the stage of the trial is not known inasmuch as in the affidavit filed on behalf of the State there is no indication of the stage of the trial. It, therefore, can reasonably be presumed that the trial will take some time.

4. We have considered the matter. On such consideration and having regard to the period of custody suffered by the accused-appellant and the period likely to be taken for conclusion of the trial, we are of the view that the accused-appellant should be released on bail in connection with F.I.R. No.557 of 2015 to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chhattisgarh in S.T. No.173 of 2016. We order accordingly.

5. The learned trial Court is free to impose all such conditions as it may deem fit.

6. The order of the High Court is set aside and the appeal is allowed.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI
MARCH 23, 2017

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.4

SECTION IIC

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 7102/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04/07/2016 in MCRA No. 1839/2016 passed by the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur)

AAMIR KHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief and office report)

Date : 23/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Nitin Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek pandey, Adv.
Mr. Baijnath Patel, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Bhatnagar, Adv.
Mr. Raghunatha Sethupathay, Adv.
Ms. Urvashi Bandhu, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Rajput, Adv.
Mr. Avi Pandey, Adv.
Mr. C. D. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Court Master

(Asha Soni)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)