

ITEM NO.26 Court 11 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s). 379/2021

(Arising out of impugned order dated 10-01-2020 in CRLWP No. 434/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur)

SAINATH DADAJI NAITHAM Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAXMI AUTO Respondent(s)

( IA No.4684/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.4685/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. )

Date : 01-02-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Qurratulain, Adv.  
Mr. Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya S. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr. Nicholas Choudhury, Adv.  
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned interim order passed by the High Court as it does not decide any contentious issue. Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

However, we deem it appropriate to record that the petitioner would inspect the vehicle and comply with the impugned order without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The submission is that the statement of the respondent, who is the writ

petitioner, is an attempt to obscure the real issue. The vehicle was purchased in the year 2008. The petitioner has not been able to use the vehicle for earning his livelihood for the last 12 years.

It is open to the Petitioner to raise these contentions before the High Court.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(NEELAM GULATI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)