

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.) No(s). 1963/2022

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-01-2022 in CRMA No. 16121/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

YOGESH YADAV

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 24389/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 99478/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 195543/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 96594/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 140910/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 61832/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 134538/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 24390/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 130900/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 37120/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 99477/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 172540/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 96593/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 140909/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 134536/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 130899/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES AND IA No. 37118/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(CrI) No. 2410/2020 (II)

(IA No. 42764/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

SLP(CrI) No. 1947/2020 (II)

(IA No. 73185/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 26327/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 73184/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 26330/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(CrI) No. 2409/2020 (II)

(IA No. 42462/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 42460/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 22-04-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. A. K. Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chakraborty, Adv.
Mrs. Ranjana Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathy, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Ms. Garima Prasad, A.A.G.
Dr. Vijendra Singh, AOR
Mr. Kumar Abhinandan, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok Bannidinni, AOR
Mr. Nimish Chib, Adv.
Ms. Anupriya Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Shruthi, Adv.
Ms. Betsara Myllemngap, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kamal, AOR
Ms. Pallavi Malhotra, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Sidana, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP (Crl.) No.1963 of 2022

1. Petitioner lays challenge to the judgment and order dated 20.01.2022 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Crl. Misc. Bail Appln. No. 16121/2020 titled "Amit Kumar Vs. State of U.P."

2. We are of the considered view that interest of justice would be best met with the disposal of the present petition on the following terms :

(A) In the peculiar and attending facts and

circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 20-01-2022 passed by the High Court. The same shall not be treated as a binding precedent.

(B) Eight out of forty six witnesses already stand examined. The Trial be expedited. We request the Trial Court to conclude the trial positively within a period of six to nine months from today.

(C) The concerned District Judge shall ensure that the trial is not delayed only on account of non-availability of judicial officer.

(D) The parties shall fully co-operate during the trial and not take any unnecessary adjournments. Should one of the private respondents herein delay the trial, it shall be open for the Trial Court to take steps for cancellation of bail.

(E) Parties to appear before the Trial Court on 07.05.2025.

(F) Our order dated 28.02.2022 shall continue to remain in operation till the time trial is over.

3. With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl.) No. 2410/2020

1. Petitioner lays challenge to the judgment and order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Crl. Misc. Bail Appln. No. 51421/2019 titled "Ravindra Kumar Yadav Vs.State of U.P."

2. We are of the considered view that interest of justice would be best met with the disposal of the present petition on the following terms :

(A) In the peculiar and attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court. The same shall not be treated as a binding precedent.

(B) The Trial be expedited. We request the Trial Court to conclude the trial positively within a period of six to nine months from today.

(C) The concerned District Judge shall ensure that the trial is not delayed only on account of non-availability of judicial officer.

(D) The parties shall fully co-operate during the trial and not take any unnecessary adjournments. Should one of the private respondents herein delay the trial, it shall be open for the Trial Court to take steps for cancellation of bail.

(E) Parties to appear before the Trial Court on 07.05.2025.

3. With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl.) No. 1947/2020

1. Petitioner lays challenge to the judgment and order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Crl. Misc. Bail Appln. No. 52412/2019 titled "Praveen Kumar Yadav Vs.State of U.P."

2. We are of the considered view that interest of justice would be best met with the disposal of the present petition on the following terms :

(A) In the peculiar and attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to

interfere with the impugned order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court. The same shall not be treated as a binding precedent.

(B) The Trial be expedited. We request the Trial Court to conclude the trial positively within a period of six to nine months from today.

(C) The concerned District Judge shall ensure that the trial is not delayed only on account of non-availability of judicial officer.

(D) The parties shall fully co-operate during the trial and not take any unnecessary adjournments. Should one of the private respondents herein delay the trial, it shall be open for the Trial Court to take steps for cancellation of bail.

(E) Parties to appear before the Trial Court on 07.05.2025.

SLP(Crl.) No. 2409/2020

1. Petitioner lays challenge to the judgment and order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Crl. Misc. Bail Appln. No. 52353/2019 titled "Aman Yadav Vs.State of U.P."

2. We are of the considered view that interest of justice would be best met with the disposal of the present petition on the following terms :

(A) In the peculiar and attending facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the High Court. The same shall not be treated as a binding precedent.

(B) The Trial be expedited. We request the Trial Court to conclude the trial positively within a period of six

to nine months from today.

(C) The concerned District Judge shall ensure that the trial is not delayed only on account of non-availability of judicial officer.

(D) The parties shall fully co-operate during the trial and not take any unnecessary adjournments. Should one of the private respondents herein delay the trial, it shall be open for the Trial Court to take steps for cancellation of bail.

(E) Parties to appear before the Trial Court on 07.05.2025.

3. With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)