

The petitioner has also submitted that continuation of the disciplinary proceedings, during pendency of the criminal proceedings would be contrary to the mandate in *M. Paul Anthony vs. Bharat Gold Mines Ltd. & Anr.*' (1999) 3 SCC 679.

We are not inclined to entertain the present writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India on the second aspect and it will be open to the petitioner to file writ petition before the jurisdictional High Court in that regard.

Recording the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of, without making any comment on the second aspect.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(BABITA PANDEY)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR