

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.4

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).8466/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-08-2016 in BN No. 6081/2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

GURJEET SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(WITH IA No.106754/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.106757/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.121978/2017-INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No.121980/2017-PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 05-02-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, AOR
Mr. Utkarsh Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anuroop Chakravarty, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ratnakar Dash, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shashank Shekhar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Kamendra Mishra, AOR
Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, Adv.

Complainant Mr. Sanjay Kumar Bajpai, in-person

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Exemption from filing O.T. granted.

Application for intervention/impleadment on behalf of
the complainant is allowed.

Permission to appear and argue in person granted.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. We have also heard the complainant who is present in person.

It is pointed out that a very large number of cases are pending against the petitioner. We are informed by learned counsel for the State that there are almost 34 cases against him. This is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner who says that there are about 24 cases pending against him and in most of these cases where the offence is of a similar nature, the petitioner has been granted bail.

Insofar as the present case is concerned, i.e., Case Crime No.349-I of 2012, it is stated that the petitioner has been in custody since 19th September, 2014 whereas in all he has been in custody since 30th August, 2012.

we are also informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that even though the charge sheet was filed three years ago, charges have not yet been framed against the petitioner.

Looking into the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that it is a fit case where the petitioner should be granted bail subject to conditions imposed by the Trial Judge.

Accordingly, we grant bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Judge.

We make it clear that one of the conditions that the Trial Judge will impose and ensure its compliance is that

the petitioner will not delay the trial.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER