ITEM NO.4 Court 12 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 29757-29758/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-08-2017 in RA No. 2456/2017 07-11-2016 in WPC No. 1377/2015 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

ARUN KUMAR SHUKLA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS. Respondent(s)

IA No. 103509/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 169425/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 03-02-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Garima Prasad, Sr. Adv. (AAG, UP)
Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR
Mr. Wrick Chatterjee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Ms. Garima Prasad, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent(s) - State of U.P. Considering the counter affidavit filed by the respondents and that first in the year 1995 (w.e.f.1990) and thereafter in the year 2014 (w.e.f. 2001), the petitioner has been granted the promotional pay scale of Rs.8,700/- and last promotional pay scale was granted in the level 13. The High Court is absolutely justified in denying the relief to

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by Jatinder Kaur
Date: 2022.02.07 11:18:36 IST
Reason:

the petitioner by which the petitioner claimed the benefit of A.C.P. It cannot be disputed that the grant of A.C.P. is to get out of the stagnation and to those who could not get the promotion. We see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court. The Special Leave Petitions stand dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JATINDER KAUR)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
BRANCH OFFICER