

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO 220 OF 2021

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL)NO. _____ DIARY NO. 21785 OF 2020

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4034 OF 2019

JAINTI & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

RAMESHWAR NATH MISHRA

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Permission to appear and argue in person is rejected.

We have gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.

The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[NAVIN SINHA]

.....J.
[K.M. JOSEPH]

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 08, 2021

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No. 220 OF 2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-11-2020 in Contempt Petition D No. No. 21785/2020 16-11-2020 in C.A. No. No. 4034/2019 passed by the Supreme Court of India)

JAINTI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMESHWAR NATH MISHRA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.132326/2020-PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 08-04-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Permission to appear and argue in person is rejected.

We have gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.

The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed in terms of signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NEETA SAPRA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MATHEW ABRAHAM)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)