

ITEM NO.35

COURT NO.12

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 27502/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-07-2017 in RP No. 223/2017 27-05-2008 in CWP No. 17347/2003 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

RAM SARAN &amp; ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 92809/2017 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING  
IA No. 92812/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT)

Date : 14-10-2019 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Tushar Bakshi, AOR  
Mr. Shovit Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nidesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Wad, Adv.  
Ms. Jayashree Wad, Adv.  
Mr. Sidharth Mahajan, Adv.  
Ms. Sukriti Jaggi, Adv.  
Mr. Ajeyo Sharma, Adv.  
M/S. J S Wad And Co, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

While issuing notice on 27.10.2017 this Court made the following order:

“Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners are not interested in reinstatement as Judicial Officers or even applying afresh for such

appointment.

In view of the above submission, issue notice on the application for condonation of delay in filing the special leave petition(s) as well as on the special leave petition(s) to respondent nos. 1-3 only, who are the contesting respondents.

Dasti service, in addition, is permitted."

The aforementioned order makes it clear that the petitioners wanted the stigma attached to them, if any, be removed and the clean chit should be given to them for all purposes except for appointment.

Mr. Nidesh Gutpa, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the High Court/Respondent No.2 submits that respondent no.2 is not interested to attach any stigma to the petitioners.

We find from the record that the petitioners are acquitted in the criminal case. On going through the judgment of acquittal, it appears that it is an honourable acquittal. The other candidates who are similarly situated have already been appointed after few years later.

So far as these petitioners are concerned, in our considered opinion, under the facts and circumstances of this case, the stigma attached to them, if any, needs to be removed. Ordered accordingly.

With the aforesaid observations, the Special Leave Petition(s) stands disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(ASHWANI THAKUR)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)