

ITEM NO.21                      Court 6 (Video Conferencing)                      SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s).27279/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-11-2020 in CRMB No. 30360/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

RISHIPAL @ RISHIPAL SINGH SOLANKI                      Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMARDEEP & ORS.                      Respondent(s)

(WITH I.R. and IA No.131283/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.131287/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.131290/2020-PERMISSION TO FILE SLP)

Date : 11-01-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

For Petitioner(S)      Mr. Anupam Dwivedi, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Sandeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Satyam Pandey, Adv.  
                                 Madhubal, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Atul Khaneja, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

- 1      Permission to file the Special Leave Petition granted.
  
- 2      Mr Anupam Dwivedi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, states that the Single Judge of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad has erred in granting bail to the first and second respondents, having regard to:

- (i) The contents of the FIR (Annexure P-1 to the Special Leave Petition);
- (ii) The seriousness of the alleged offence under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 involving the homicidal death of the father and uncle of the petitioner; and
- (iii) The role which is attributed to the first and the second respondents.

3 Issue notice, returnable in four weeks.

4 Liberty to serve the Standing Counsel for the State of Uttar Pradesh, in addition.

5 The first and the second respondents are stated to have been released on bail in pursuance of the impugned order of the High Court. The impugned order of the High Court shall not be relied upon in any application for bail arising out of the same FIR pending the final disposal of this petition.

**(SANJAY KUMAR-I)**  
**AR-CUM-PS**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)**  
**COURT MASTER**