

ITEM NO.30 Court 1 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).8650/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-10-2021 in WP No.3304/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

JITENDRA RAMESH PATIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 8786/2021 (II-A)SLP(Crl) No. 9584/2021 (II-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.161464/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.161465/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 21-02-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.
In SLP(Crl.)9584/ Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, AOR
2021 Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Yash Prashant Sonavane, Adv.
Mr. Siddheshwar Namdev Biradar, Adv.
Mr. Digvijay Shivajirao Kachare, Adv.

In SLP(Crl.)8650/21 Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr.Adv.
& 8786/21 Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Rohini Musa, AOR
Mr. Nipun Katyal, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Sidhra, Adv.
Mr. Nadeem Afroz, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR
Mr. Aaditya A. Vande, Adv.
Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.
Ms. Shwetal Shepal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

SLP(Crl.) No.8650/21 & SLP(Crl.)No.8786/21

Heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as also the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent - State at length.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent - State is directed to give the details of the quantified amount as per the affidavit including the interest.

List on 25.02.2022.

Interim bail granted to the petitioners by the High Court and extended by this Court vide orders dated 23.11.2021 and 14.02.2022 shall continue to operate till 28.02.2022.

SLP(Crl) No. 9584/2021

This petition is directed against order dated 07.12.2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Bail Application No.3910 of 2021 whereby the High Court rejected the said application filed by the petitioner herein.

Heard Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as also Mr. Rahul Chitnis, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent - State at length and carefully perused the counter and the rejoinder affidavit filed by the respective parties.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner vehemently submitted that the investigation is already complete and the charge-sheet has been filed long back on 29.10.2021, and in the said offence, out of 28 charge-sheeted accused, only the petitioner has not been released on bail though similarly placed accused have already been released on bail.

On a query being made by the Court, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent - State also submitted that all other co-accused have been granted bail by the trial court, which has not been challenged by the State.

In view of the above, parity will have to be accorded in the case of petitioner also. We, therefore, grant bail to the petitioner herein.

The petitioner is, accordingly, directed to be enlarged on bail on such terms and conditions as the trial court may deem appropriate to impose upon the petitioner.

The special leave petition stands disposed of accordingly.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)