

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1 The principal grievance which has been urged before this Court in the Special Leave Petitions under Article 136 of the Constitution is that while, on the one hand, the petitioner has been subjected to terms of deposit by a settlement that was arrived at on 25 June 2020, as recorded in the order of the Delhi High Court, the regular first appeals against the judgment of the Single Judge are not being heard only because the respondent has not consented to a hearing on the video conferencing platform.
- 2 This grievance has been reiterated in the course of submissions by Mr Mukul Rohatgi and Mr V Giri, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
- 3 Mr Suhail Dutt, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the caveator-respondent, stated that the respondent has no objection to the High Court hearing the regular first appeals, Regular First Appeal (OS) Nos 13 of 2020 and 14 of 2020, on the next date fixed, namely, 28 January 2021 or thereafter on the video conferencing platform.
- 4 Hence, we record the consent of the contesting parties, as indicated before this Court by the learned Senior Counsel, that the parties have no objection to the High Court hearing the proceedings on 28 January 2021 or thereafter on the video conferencing platform for which arrangements have been made by the Delhi High Court.
- 5 The parties have agreed that they will not seek any adjournment for the hearing on 28 January 2021.

- 6 Since the arrangement which was arrived at before the High Court on 25 June 2020 was consensual in nature, we are not interfering with the impugned order dated 3 December 2020.
- 7 The Special Leave Petitions are disposed of. Pending applications stand disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER