

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 519 OF 2018

VIVEK SHIVHARE & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HONBLE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 431 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 508 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 592 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 581 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 578 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 582 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 584 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 609 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 589 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 591 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 604 of 2018

W.P. (C) No. 615 of 2018

O R D E R

I.A. No. 99904 of 2018 in W. P.(C) No. 519/2018

The order dated 18.05.2018 is modified in terms of the order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) No. 431 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 508 of 2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this Writ Petition.

Permission is granted.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

W.P. (C) No. 592 of 2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this Writ Petition.

Permission is granted.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

W.P. (C) No. 581 of 2018

It is submitted that the petition survives in respect of Petitioner No. 1 as Petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 could not clear the examination.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous qua Petitioner Nos. 2 to 4.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of Petitioner No. 1.

W.P. (C) No. 578 of 2018

It is submitted that the petition survives in respect of Petitioner No. 10 as Petitioner Nos. 1 to 9, 11 and 12 could not clear the examination.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous qua Petitioner Nos. 1 to 9, 11 and 12.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of Petitioner No. 10.

W.P. (C) No. 582 of 2018

The learned counsel has submitted that he will have to verify whether the petitioners have been selected or not.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of the petitioners.

W.P. (C) No. 584 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infrutuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 609 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 589 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in

the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 591 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 604 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 615 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

.....J.
[ARUN MISHRA]

.....J.
[M.R. SHAH]

New Delhi;
JULY 15, 2019.

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.4

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 519/2018

VIVEK SHIVHARE & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HONBLE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 73559/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
 IA No. 17219/2019 - MODIFICATION
 IA No. 99904/2018 - MODIFICATION)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 431/2018 (X)
 (IA 17210/19 IN WP(C) 431/2018 AND IA 17219/19 IN WP(C) 519/2018.
 IA No. 99437/2018 - MODIFICATION)
 W.P.(C) No. 508/2018 (X)
 W.P.(C) No. 592/2018 (X)
 (FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 79615/2018
 IA No. 79615/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)
 W.P.(C) No. 581/2018 (X)
 (FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 79107/2018
 FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 79110/2018
 IA No. 79110/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
 IA No. 79107/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)
 W.P.(C) No. 578/2018 (X)
 (FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 78938/2018
 IA No. 78938/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)
 W.P.(C) No. 582/2018 (X)
 (FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 79131/2018
 IA No. 79131/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)
 W.P.(C) No. 584/2018 (X)
 IA No. 79158/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)
 W.P.(C) No. 604/2018 (X)
 (FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 80219/2018
 IA No. 80219/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)
 W.P.(C) No. 589/2018 (X)
 (FOR GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF ON IA 79366/2018
 FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 79436/2018
 IA No. 79436/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
 IA No. 79366/2018 - GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF)
 W.P.(C) No. 591/2018 (X)
 (FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 79575/2018
 IA No. 79575/2018 - STAY APPLICATION)
 W.P.(C) No. 609/2018 (X)
 (ONLY I.A. NO. 76632/2019 IS TO BE LISTED
 IA No. 80349/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)
 W.P.(C) No. 615/2018 (X)

IA No. 80497/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 15-07-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Counsel for the
parties

Mr. Manan Kumar Mishra, Sr. Adv.
(Appearance slip not given on his behalf)

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anupam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

Mr. Ashwani Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Yunus Malik, Adv.
Ms. Khushali, Adv.
Ms. Saroj Bala, Adv.
Mr. Samir Malik, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Bhuvneshwari Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Shilpi Satyapriya Satyam, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Suman Kumar Divakar, Adv.
Ms. Chhaya Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.
Mr. A. C. Boxi Patro, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satyendra Kumar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Tiwari, Adv.

Ms. Preeti Singh, Adv.
Ms. Swati Jindal, Adv.

Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Aswathi M. L., Adv.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Archana Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Purushaindra Kaurav, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv.
Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Manu Prakash Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Mansi Kukreja, Adv.
for M/S. Mitter & Mitter Co.

Mr. Sandeep Jindal, AOR

Mr. Rakesh Kumar-I, AOR

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No. 99904 of 2018 in W. P.(C) No. 519/2018

The order dated 18.05.2018 is modified in terms of the order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) No. 431 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 508 of 2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this Writ Petition.

Permission is granted.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

W.P. (C) No. 592 of 2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this Writ Petition.

Permission is granted.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

W.P. (C) No. 581 of 2018

It is submitted that the petition survives in respect of Petitioner No. 1 as Petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 could not clear the examination.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous qua Petitioner Nos. 2 to 4.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of Petitioner No. 1.

W.P. (C) No. 578 of 2018

It is submitted that the petition survives in respect of Petitioner No. 10 as Petitioner Nos. 1 to 9, 11 and 12 could not clear the examination.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous qua Petitioner Nos. 1 to 9, 11 and 12.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of Petitioner No. 10.

W.P. (C) No. 582 of 2018

The learned counsel has submitted that he will have to verify whether the petitioners have been selected or not.

Order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court in SLP (C) No. 14156/2015 shall hold good in respect of the petitioners.

W.P. (C) No. 584 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 609 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 589 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 591 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 604 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

W.P. (C) No. 615 of 2018

It is submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners could not be selected in the examination though they were permitted to appear.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(JAGDISH CHANDER)
BRANCH OFFICER