

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.2

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 64/2018

NITIN RAJ

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF DELHI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY W.P.(C) NO. 1302 OF 2018 TO BE LISTED)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 1302/2018 (X)

(FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 153498/2018, FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 21519/2019, FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 23768/2019, FOR RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER ON IA 23771/2019, FOR impleading party ON IA 23847/2019 and FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/ DIRECTIONS ON IA 153498/2018)

Date : 18-02-2019 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Syed Mehdi Imam, AOR

Mr. T. V. George, AOR
Mr. Dushyant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. S. Sebastian, Adv.
Mr. Debashish Rout, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Rakesh Kumar-I, AOR

Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ritu Reniwal, Adv.
Mr. Kamlesh Reniwal, Adv.
Mr. Govind Narayan Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Neha Dutt Sharma, Adv.
Mr. V. Sushant, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Amit K. Nain, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR
Mr. A. Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR
 Mr. Punya Garg, Adv.
 Mr. Abhishek Jain, Adv.
 Ms. Anusha Agarwal, Adv.

For applicant(s) Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Manan Kumar Mishra, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Pallav Shishodia, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Anil Kumar Upman, Adv.
 Mr. Rajesh Sharma Karnal, Adv.
 Mr. Rishabh Sancheti, Adv.
 Ms. Padma Priya, Adv.
 Mr. Namit Saxena, Adv.
 Mr. Anchit Bhandari, Adv.
 Mr. K. Paarivendhan, AOR

Mr. H.D. Thanvi, Adv.
 Ms. Preeti Thanvi, Adv.
 Ms. Rishi Matoliya, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

Writ Petition (C) No. 1302/2018:

We are informed that in the State of Rajasthan exams for Higher Judicial Services are going to be held on 23.02.2019 and the petitioners have also been issued the roll numbers for appearing in the said exams. Since the exams are round the corner and examination process has already been started, it may not be proper to restrain the petitioners to appear in the exams at this stage. We, however, make it clear that by appearing in the exams the petitioners shall not claim any equity.

It has been argued by the applicant(s), who are seeking vacation of the stay order, that in view of the specific Rule position in the State of Rajasthan the Judicial Officers are not permitted to appear in the exams. This argument is kept open to be

decided at the appropriate stage.

Having regard to the fact that the matter is now referred to the larger Bench and such situations are going to come up time and again, we permit the applicant(s) to mention the matter before Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India for listing of these matters before a larger Bench as early as possible so that the controversy is set to rest for all times.

We make it clear that result of the petitioners/Judicial Officers, who appear in the exams, shall not be declared and shall be kept in sealed cover.

(SUSHIL KUMAR RAKHEJA)
AR-CUM-PS

(RAJINDER KAUR)
BRANCH OFFICER