

ITEM NO.25

Court 1 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 768/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-12-2019 in A482 No. 43913/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

RAJARAM & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.16657/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 27-09-2021 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anil Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Singh, Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Kalra, Adv.
Mr. Vikram Singh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

We find from the impugned Order passed by the High Court that the learned counsel for the petitioners in the High Court had given up the matter on merits in their petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 for quashing of Charge-sheet dated 16-12-2018 and Order taking cognizance dated 6-8-2019 passed

by the Special Judge (SC/ST Act)/Additional District & Sessions Judge, Lalitpur, U.P. under Sections 323, 504, 506, 147, 148 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3 (1) (x) & 3 (1) (xi) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. The said petition was accordingly disposed by the High Court. However, the High Court directed the Trial Court to extend the benefit of interim bail to the petitioners.

We also find that there are two FIRs dated 15-9-2018 and 17-9-2018 filed by the father of Petitioner Nos. 1 to 3 against son of the injured (Lalaram) and (Asharam) and the complaint dated 24-10-2018 was lodged by Respondent No.2 against the petitioners – herein.

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.

However, the concerned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

Till then, the interim bail granted by the Trial Court to the petitioners, which was directed to be extended by the High Court, shall continue to operate till trial is concluded in the matter, subject to the condition that they shall cooperate with the investigating agency without fail and shall not delay the trial.

With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition and pending application stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)