

TEM NO.43

COURT NO.14

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.17369/2021

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-08-2021 in WA No. 268/2021 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

TELANGANA HOUSING BOARD & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

R VENUGOPAL REDDY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 20259/2021 (XII-A)
(FOR VACATING STAY ON IA 43610/2023
FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 133670/2023
FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA 144211/2023)

SLP(C) No. 20159/2021 (XII-A)
(FOR VACATING STAY ON IA 43603/2023
FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 133664/2023
FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA 144218/2023)

SLP(C) No. 4737-4738/2022 (XII-A)
(FOR VACATING STAY ON IA 138472/2022)

SLP(C) No. 5738/2022 (XII-A)
(FOR VACATING STAY ON IA 188974/2022

SLP(C) No. 2957/2022 (XII-A)

SLP(C) No. 1665-1666/2022 (XII-A)

Date : 06-01-2025 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. T. V. Ratnam, AOR
Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sudhanshu S Choudhari, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Salvaji Raja Shekar Rao, Adv.
Mr. Vatsalya Vigya, AOR
Mr. Mangesh Naik, Adv.
Ms. Gautami Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Pranjal Chapalgaonkar, Adv.

Mr. A.S. Rao, Adv.
Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma, AOR

Mr. Shiv Vinayak Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.
Ms. Akansha Saini, Adv.
Mrs. Bina Gupta, AOR

Mr. Raavi Yogesh Venkata, AOR
Mr. Kotte Venkata Pawan Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Twinkle Rathi, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. S. Uday Bhanu, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Verma, AOR
Mr. Saurabh Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Mahajan, Adv.
Ms. Hardikaa Kalia, Adv.
Ms. Sanya Narula, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Mr. V. Giri, the learned senior counsel appearing for the State of Telangana Housing Board prays for an adjournment. According to him, the Board may be in a position to arrive at some

amicable settlement with the other side.

2. On the other hand, Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that till this date, there has been no proposal from the Board for any settlement. In fact, according to Mr. Chaudhari his clients have paid the entire consideration to the developers.

3. In response to the above, Mr. Giri submitted that in fact the proposal for settlement has come from the other side and that is how they are looking into.

4. Be that as it may, it will be in the interest of both the sides to arrive at some amicable settlement and put an end to this litigation.

5. List the matter in the week commencing from 3rd February, 2025.

(CHANDRESH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)