

In view of the said submission, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

However, we direct the concerned Trial Court to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

In case trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, as stated above, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the Trial Court for grant of bail.

We find that the High Court vide its impugned Order dated 10-11-2020 while dismissing the bail application of the petitioner, has observed in Page No.2, which reads as follows:-

"I have gone through statement of P.W. 8 Prakash, wherein he has very categorically mentioned that his daughter Himani was harassed for dowry and the demand of dowry on the face of it is writ large. Even, in the cross-examination, the demand of dowry is being projected. Besides the statement of P.W.8, in other statements of the prosecution witnesses, namely, brother of deceased i.e. P.W.1 and her mother i.e. P.W.2, the demand of dowry has been reflected.

We are of the considered view that the said observations made by the High Court are not necessary and unwarranted, which would have bearing at the time of consideration of the main matter pending adjudication before the Trial Court.

In view of the above, we delete the said observation on Page No.2 of the High Court.

The impugned order of the High Court is modified to the extent indicated above.

With the said observations, the Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

Consequent upon disposal of the Special Leave Petition, pending application filed in the matter also stands disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DY. REGISTRAR