

ITEM NO.14 Court 2 (Video Conferencing) SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).26437/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-10-2018 in CMA No.309/2017 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru)

SKYLINE CONSTRUCTION AND HOUSING PVT. LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UMA NARENDRA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.112080/2019-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.112081/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T)

Date : 04-11-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Aditya Vijaykumar, Adv.
 Mr. Chitranshul A. Sinha, Adv.
 Mr. Anshuman Mohit Chaturvedi, Adv.
 For M/S. Dua Associates, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vishesh Issar, Adv.
 Mr. Rahul Dhawan, Adv.
 Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Ravi Sehgal, Adv.
 Mr. Dheer Srivastava, Adv.
 Mr. Udit Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.
Delay condoned.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully perusing the material placed on record, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court whereby the High Court appointed a retired High Court Judge as a sole Arbitrator to resolve the dispute between the parties.

.....2/-

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his client now wants to settle the matter, and before going for arbitration, the request of the petitioner for settlement of the matter may be considered by the learned Arbitrator.

If that is so, we request the learned Arbitrator to try to settle the matter between the parties amicably, and if the matter is not settled between the parties, the learned Arbitrator would be at liberty to go with the arbitration proceedings in accordance with law.

The petitioner is directed to withdraw the application filed under Section 16 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 which is pending before the Tribunal.

With the aforesaid observations, the special leave petition stands disposed of.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR