

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

CURATIVE PETITION (C) NO.43 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.80 OF 2016
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO.6 OF 2014

RAMESH KUMAR ROHILLA ... Petitioner(s)

VS.

M/S. BHARTI AIRTEL LIMITED ... Respondent(s)

ORDER

We have carefully examined the Curative Petition, and the relevant documents annexed thereto. In our opinion, the instant petition sans merit, in view of the parameters laid down in ***Rupa Ashok Hurra*** Vs. ***Ashok Hurra & Anr.***, reported in 2002 (4) SCC 388.

The Curative Petition is dismissed.

.....CJI.
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

.....J.
[DIPAK MISRA]

.....J.
[J. CHELAMESWAR]

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

New Delhi;
27th April, 2017.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CURATIVE PETITION (C) No.43/2017 IN REVIEW PETITION (C)NO.80/2016
IN SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C)NO.6/2014

RAMESH KUMAR ROHILLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. BHARTI AIRTEL LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for oral hearing and office report)

Date : 27/04/2017 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for oral hearing is rejected.

The curative petition is dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

(Sarita Purohit)
Court Master

(Renuka Sadana)
Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)