

ITEM NO.30

Court 5 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.5974/2020**

**(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-10-2020 in CRLA No. 284/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad)**

**BHANUDAS & ANR.**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.**

**Respondent(s)**

**(With appln.(s) for I.R. and IA No.121931/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.121932/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)**

**Date : 08-01-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA**

**For Petitioner(s)**

**Mr. Shashibhushan P. Adgaonkar, AOR**

**For Respondent(s)**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following**  
**O R D E R**

- 1 We have heard Mr Shashibhushan P Adgaonkar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.
- 2 The High Court has declined to entertain Criminal Application No 284 of 2020 instituted by the petitioners for quashing an FIR lodged against them for alleged offences under Sections 307, 435, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

- 3 Having considered the judgment of the High Court, we are not inclined to entertain the Special Leave Petition under Article 136 of the Constitution, finding no error in the judgment.
- 4 The observations contained in the judgment of the High Court are in the context of the application for quashing the FIR under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and shall not preclude the petitioners pursuing such independent remedies and/or their defenses as available in law.
- 5 Subject to the clarification in para 4 above, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed.
- 6 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(CHETAN KUMAR)**  
**A.R. - cum - P.S.**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)**  
**Court Master**