

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

R.P.(C) No. 359/2017 in C.A. No. 8416/2016

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANOHAR LAL JAIN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

An application seeking permission to hear the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.

We have perused the Office Report dated 10th March, 2017 wherein it has been mentioned that Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, learned counsel for the petitioners has on 2-3-2017 filed a letter stating therein that in view of Order dated 27-1-2017 passed in Contempt Petition (Civil) No.839 of 2016, the present Review Petition may be permitted to be withdrawn.

In view of the above, the Review Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI;
29TH MARCH, 2017.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R.P.(C) No. 359/2017 in C.A. No. 8416/2016

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANOHAR LAL JAIN

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for hearing in open court and c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 29/03/2017 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

An application seeking permission to hear the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.

The Review Petition is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)