

ITEM NO.5

Court 15 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C). No(s).18396-18405/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4931/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4985/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4986/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4990/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4993/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 4998/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 5000/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 5003/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 5005/2020 30-08-2021 in SAST No. 5009/2020 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Bombay)

THE BOMBAY DYEING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PARESH HARILAL SUTARIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.146098/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.147293/2021-APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 18075/2021 (IX)
(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

Date : 26-11-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Navroz Seervai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Ms. Linzum Wangdi, Adv.
Mr. Mohammed Himaytullah, Adv.
Ms. Rujuta Patil, Adv.
Ms. Jennifer Dadrewala, Adv.
Ms. Mansi Taneja, Adv.
Ms. Kamakshi Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR

Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amartya Sharan, Adv.
Ms. Pritha Srikumar Iyer, AOR

Mr. Kaustav Saha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the material placed on record, we are not persuaded to entertain these petitions seeking leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 30.08.2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in a batch of appeals.

Even while not entertaining these petitions, we would leave it open for the parties in taking recourse to other appropriate proceedings, including the proposition for settlement, as may be advised and as may be permissible in law.

Subject to the observations foregoing, these special leave petitions stand dismissed.

All pending applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(SUNIL KUMAR RAJVANSHI)
BRANCH OFFICER