

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.15

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 24758/2019

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-04-2019 in WP No. 2987/2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

PRINCIPAL D.Y. PATIL PRATISHTHANS D.Y. PATIL
COLLEGE OF ENGG. AND TECHNOLOGY & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NILIMA SHARAD VATKAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(WITH IA No. 148085/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 148086/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 4536-4538/2021 (IX)

(WITH IA No. 174223/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 95357/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 71198/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES AND IA No. 36809/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 29-01-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. M. Y. Deshmukh, AOR
Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.
Mr. D. Aswathaman, Adv.
Ms. Sanyukta N. Suryawanshi, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Vaishnavi, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Satyajeet Kharde, Adv.
Mr. Sunny Jadhav, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.
Mr. Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.
Mr. Adarsh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Vignesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aditya S. Jadhav, Adv.

Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR
Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Phanse, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 24758/2019:

1. We find from the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Bombay that learned counsel representing the institution before it, being the present petitioner, did not dispute that the judgment of this Court reported in AIR 2017 SC 505 covers the issue raised in the writ petition by the respondent no.1. Recording the same, the High Court disposed of the writ petition granting relief to the respondent no.1.
2. Since the High Court was practically invited by the present petitioner to pass the order impugned, this special leave petition is not maintainable. The same stands dismissed.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

SLP(C) No. 4536-4538/2021:

1. By the impugned judgment and order dated December 06, 2019, the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Aurangabad Bench disposed of three writ petitions¹. We are informed that out of 17 (seventeen), 16(sixteen) have settled their *inter se* disputes amicably and that only Agnihotri Udaykumar

1 W.P. No. 6893 of 2018 (Jayesh vs. The State of Maharashtra)
W.P. No. 6882 of 2018 (Bhushan Shantaram Patil vs. The State of Maharashtra)
W.P. No. 5099 of 2019 (Mahatma Gandhi Shikshan Mandal vs. The State of Maharashtra)

Sharadchandra, the respondent no.2 in SLP(C) No.4537 of 2021 (@ WP 6888/2018) has not settled yet.

2. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties, we are not inclined to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India; hence the special leave petitions stand dismissed.

3. The benefit of the impugned judgment shall be made available only to Agnihotri Udaykumar Sharadchandra.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JATINDER KAUR)
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(ANJALI PANWAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)