

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.211 OF 2017

S. RAMAKRISHNA

... PETITIONER

VS.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD, FOR
THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND ANDHRA PRADESH
THROUGH REGISTRAR GENERAL

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. Though, Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for time, we have declined to adjourn the matter. Thereafter, he argued the matter very vehemently, but we are not convinced to transfer the case.

2. We are not inclined to interfere, as the Chief Justice has now joined. We request the Chief Justice to take up the matter himself to look into the allegations and counter allegations independently. It is stated by the counsel that the petitioner has abided by the order passed by this Court as of maintaining the discipline.

..2/-

.2.

3. In view of the above, the transfer petition and all pending applications stand disposed of.

.....J.
[ARUN MISHRA]

.....J.
[NAVIN SINHA]

New Delhi;
5th July, 2018.

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition (Civil) No(s).211/2017

S. RAMAKRISHNA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD, FOR
THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND ANDHRA PRADESH
THROUGH REGISTRAR GENERAL

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 05-07-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Deepak Jyoti Ghildiyal, Adv.
Ms. Bandana Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kshitij Mudgal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR
Ms. Srishti Agnihotri, Adv.
Ms. Remya Raj, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(Sarita Purohit)
Court Master

(Jagdish Chander)
Branch Officer

(Signed order is placed on the file)