

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NOS.2186-2187/2020

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.717-718/2020

RADHIKA MALLICK ETC.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ODISHA

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the appellants. There is no clarification required of the order dated 29.10.2020 as the order is quite clear in its terms. It appears on that date the aspect of the other two appellants was not highlighted and thus, the order was made in respect of appellant No.1.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellants who points out that the factual matrix is identical in respect of appellant No.2(Tripati Kapatpatra) and appellant No.3 (Sebastian Mallick) also.

In view of the aforesaid, we of the view that the appellant No.2(Tripati Kapatpatra) and appellant No.3 (Sebastian Mallick)

are also entitled to bail on parity with the order dated 29.10.2020 on the terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The Miscellaneous Applications stand disposed of.

..... J.  
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

..... J.  
[DINESH MAHESHWARI]

..... J.  
[HRISHIKESH ROY]

NEW DELHI;

DECEMBER 11, 2020

