ITEM NO.8 Court 6 (Video Conferencing) SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.14692/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-01-2020 in WP No.21206/2019 passed by the High Court of M.P. at Indore)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VISHWAS NIMGAONKAR Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for I.R. and IA No.124808/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 04-02-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

For Petitioner(s) **Mr. Sanjay Jain, ASG**
Mr. Mohammed Akhil, Adv.
Mrs. Vimala Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Yogesh Pachauri, Adv.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1 The High Court having found that the disagreement note by the disciplinary authority did not contain reasons for differing with the report of the Inquiry Officer, it has remanded the matter back to the disciplinary authority for conducting the proceedings from the stage where the disagreement note was issued. The ultimate direction of the High Court, therefore, makes it clear

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by Chetan Kumar
Date: 2021.02.04 17:44:25 IST
Reason:

that the disciplinary authority can proceed further in the matter after complying with the requirement as indicated in the judgment of the High Court of indicating its reasons for differing with the view of the Inquiry Officer. In view of this position, it is not necessary for the Court to entertain the Special Leave Petition.

2 The Special Leave Petition is dismissed in view of the liberty which has been granted to the petitioners.

3 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)
A.R.-cum-P.S.

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
Court Master