

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1693/2017

DR. ABHA PUROHIT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEEPAK CHANDRA PUROHIT

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. We do not find any ground to allow transfer as sought. However, the petitioner is allowed to avail the video conferencing facility in accordance with law and the respondent may not object to the same.

If the video conferencing facility is not available at the concerned place, the video conferencing facility of the nearest place may be used.

The transfer petition is accordingly disposed of.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(INDU MALHOTRA)

New Delhi,
May 15, 2018.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G STransfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1693/2017

DR. ABHA PUROHIT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEEPAK CHANDRA PUROHIT

Respondent(s)

(I.A.No.87823/2017- Ex-parte stay)

Date : 15-05-2018 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Harshul Singh, Adv.
Ms. Kritika Khurana, Adv.
Ms. Namita Choudhary, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR
Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, Adv.
Ms. Saloni Tangri Jawa, Adv.
Ms. Karnica Bhurjee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E RIn terms of the signed order, the transfer petition is
disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)