

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6187/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-02-2017 in CRLOP No. 912/2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras)

STATE REP. BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

C.VEERAMANI

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 6188/2017 (II-C)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.70424/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SLP and IA No.70426/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 25-04-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv./A.A.G.

Dr. Joseph Aristotle S., AOR

Ms. Richa Vishwakarma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No.6187/2017:-

1. Having heard learned Additional Advocate General for the State of Tamil Nadu at a considerable length and after carefully perusing the material placed on record, we do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order dated 07-02-2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras, granting regular bail to the respondent, more so when no instance of misuse of the said concession has been pointed out.

2. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No.6188/2017:-

1. It is pointed out by learned Additional Advocate General for the State that the respondent has not been released on bail as he could not furnish the bail bonds and he still continues in custody.

2. That being so, no case to interfere with the impugned order dated 07-02-2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras, is made out.

3. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
COURT MASTER (NSH)