

THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.389 OF 2021

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.122271 OF 2021
(APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

IN

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.58 OF 2021

SATYA PRAKASH RAVIDAS

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

RAJ VIDYA KENDER & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Application for permission to appear and argue in Person is rejected.

The petitioner has not made out a case for reviewing the order sought to be reviewed. The Review Petition will stand dismissed.

.....J.
[K.M. JOSEPH]

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

New Delhi
9th November, 2021

ITEM NO.1002

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(Cr1.) No. 389/2021 in W.P.(Cr1.) No. 58/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-09-2021 in W.P.(Cr1.) No. No. 58/2021 passed by the Supreme Court Of India)

SATYA PRAKASH RAVIDAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJ VIDYA KENDER & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.122271/2021-PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 09-11-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for permission to appear and argue in Person is rejected.

The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(RENU KAPOOR)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)