

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.1

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.28662-28663/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-05-2017 in RP No. 163/2017 01-03-2017 in LPA No. 340/2016 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

R.P. LUTHRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE
THR. ITS SECRETARY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person)

Date : 08-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)
Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Ray, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Buxy, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Raghunath, Adv.
Mr. A. Mukunda Rao, Adv.
Ms. Vrinda Bhandari, Adv.
Ms. Jaishree Viswanathan, Adv.

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG
Mr. P.S. Narasimha, ASG
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Madhavi Divan, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Khanna, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Puri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. R.P. Luthra, the petitioner in-person, Mr. Maninder Singh and Mr. P.S. Narasimha, learned Additional Solicitor Generals for the Union of India and Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, learned Amicus Curiae.

Having considered the entire facts and circumstances of the case and further taking note of the relief clause, we have no iota of doubt that the petitioner had sought primarily for mitigation of an individual grievance which the two-Judge Bench has correctly declined to entertain.

As far as the other prayers are concerned, there was no necessity or need to proceed with the same, more so, in view of the Constitution Bench judgments in Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association and Another vs. Union of India (2016) 5 SCC 1 and Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association and Another vs. Union of India AIR 2016 SC 117.

Accordingly, the order passed on 27th October, 2017, relating to other aspects barring non-entertainment of the special leave petitions, stand recalled.

In view of the aforesaid analysis, there is no justification or warrant to keep the special leave petitions pending and the same are, accordingly, disposed of.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar