

ITEM NO.29

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVI -A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1322/2017

MAYA DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUNIL KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.70532/2017-STAY APPLICATION and IA
No.70533/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 18-08-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROYFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Ajay Kumar, AOR
Mr. Keshav Maheshwaria, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No ground for transfer is made out. The Transfer
Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed
of.

Personal appearance of the petitioner should not
be insisted unless and until her appearance is necessary.
Question of jurisdiction was also raised by the learned
counsel for the petitioner but we are not supposed to
deal it in transfer petition. It is open to the
petitioner to raise the question before the trial court.
However, in view of the decision rendered by this court
on 9th March, 2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014 titled
Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nigam, it is open to the
petitioner is at liberty to pray to the concerned court
for participating in the proceedings by video

conferencing, and also to claim expenditure when petitioner(wife) is required to travel. In case she wants mediation, the court shall make endeavour in that direction too.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
BRANCH OFFICER