

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2025
(Arising out of SLP (CRL.) No.6767 of 2025)

U. VITHUN SANDRON

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant challenges the judgment and order dated 23.01.2025 in Criminal Original Petition No.19111/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras, titled "*U. Vithun Sandron vs. State represented by the Inspector of Police*".

3. On 05.05.2025, this Court passed the following order:-

"1. Petitioner challenges the judgment and order dated 23-01-2025 in Criminal Original Petition No.19111/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras, titled " *U.Vithun Sandron vs. State represented by the Inspector of Police.*"

2. Issue notice, returnable on 01.08.2025.

3. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted. Let steps for service be taken within two weeks.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to serve the learned standing counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu.

5. In the notice itself let it be mentioned that the respondent is required to file the counter affidavit before the next date of listing.

6. In the event of arrest in connection with Cr.No.68 of 2023 of Avadi-CCB Police Station, Avadi City CCB District, Tamil Nadu, the petitioner shall

be released on bail on the appropriate terms and conditions as may be fixed by the Investigating/Arresting Officer."

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and also perused the materials available on record.

5. It is not in dispute that the conditions stand fully complied with; the appellant has cooperated during the investigation; he has not threatened or intimidated any of the witnesses; or has tried to influence the investigation in any manner.

6. We have noticed the nature of crime and the manner in which it was allegedly committed.

7. We allow the present appeal by confirming the order dated 05.05.2025, passed by this Court, with a direction to the appellant to continue to cooperate during the investigation/trial and not to take any unnecessary adjournment.

8. If the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer/Trial Court feels that the investigation/trial is delayed on account of the appellant's conduct, it shall be open to the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer/Trial Court to take appropriate steps in accordance with the law, including cancellation of bail.

9. The impugned order dated 23.01.2025 in Criminal Original Petition No.19111/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras, titled "*U. Vithun Sandron vs. State represented by the Inspector of Police*" is set aside.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(SANDEEP MEHTA)

NEW DELHI;
26TH AUGUST, 2025.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 6767 OF 2025

U. VITHUN SANDRON

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION AND IA No.113774/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 26-08-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash, Adv.
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.
Ms. Arpitha Anna Mathew, Adv.
Ms. Jahnavi Taneja, Adv.
Mr. Veshal Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. K.s.badhrinathan, Adv.
Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is allowed in terms of signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)

ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(ANU BHALLA)

COURT MASTER (NSH)