

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.) No(s). 7170/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-08-2021 in CRLA(ABA) No. 383/2021 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Bombay At Nagpur)

AKSHAY ANANDMOHAN AHIR

(NAMED AS AKSHAY MOHAN AHIR IN FIR)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(IA No.119485/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.119486/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 29-09-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.
Mr. Ketan Bhate, Adv.
Mr. Amit Bhate, Adv.
Mr. Kailas Bajirao Autade, AOR
Mr. Sheetal Patil, Adv.
Mr. P. Prakash, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We are not inclined to entertain a prayer for anticipatory bail as charge-sheet has already been filed and the petitioner must apply for regular bail. We may, however, notice that as per the learned counsel the petitioner was never arrested during investigation and had in fact joined the investigation.

In view thereof he relied on the judgment of this

Court in *Siddharth Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Anr.*[2021 SCC Online SC 615].

we are of the view that the appropriate course for the petitioner is to appear before the Trial Court and apply for regular bail and needless to say that our judgment in Siddharth's case (supra) will be kept in mind while the Trial Court examines the bail application promptly uninfluenced by the impugned order of the High Court.

The special leave petition is dismissed with the aforesaid terms.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI)
COURT MASTER

(POONAM VAID)
COURT MASTER