ITEM NO.10 Court 2 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).5158/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-07-2020 in CRMBA No.21273/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

DHANANJAY SINGH Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH Respondent(s)

Date : 18-02-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kundan Kumar Mishra, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent – State and carefully perused the record.

Although learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent –State vehemently opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner, yet taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is already in custody for the last more than two years and six months, and the co-accused have also been granted bail by the High Court vide order dated 26.05.2015 and 01.04.2016, we are inclined to grant him bail.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by SATISH KUMAR YADAV
Date: 2021.02.19 16:25:13 IST
Reason:

The petitioner is, accordingly, directed to be enlarged on bail on terms and conditions to be imposed by the trial court.

…….2/-

The special leave petition stands disposed of accordingly.

If the petitioner tries to hamper the trial or influence the witnesses, the respondent – State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted to the petitioner today by this Court.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR