

ITEM NO.55

COURT NO.2

SECTION XII-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 36604/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 25-10-2016 in WP No. 29481/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra Pradesh)

KARANAM CHIRANJEEVULU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD  
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND FOR THE STATE  
OF ANDHRA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ANNEXURES ON IA 1/2017)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 568/2017 (X)

Date : 23-04-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. B. Adinarayana Rao, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, AOR  
Ms. Y. Vismai Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Sharat Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR  
Ms. Srishti Agnihotri, Adv.  
Mr. Yashraj Bundela, Adv.  
Ms. Remya Raj, Adv.  
Mr. Praveen Vignesh, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR  
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

WP(C ) No. 568/2017

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.  
However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the High Court.

Needless to say that the matter would be heard by a Bench of which none of the members who took the administrative decisions is a party, in view of the apprehension expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

SLP(C ) No. 36604/2016

The special leave petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)  
AR CUM PS

(RAJINDER KAUR)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION(C ) No. 568 OF 2017

KARANAM CHIRANJEEVULU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD  
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND FOR THE STATE  
OF ANDHRA PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the High Court.

Needless to say that the matter would be heard by a Bench of which none of the members who took the administrative decisions is a party, in view of the apprehension expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

.....J.  
(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)

NEW DELHI  
April 23, 2018