

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 582/2017

SRINIVAS TRUST & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 62778/2017 - EX-PARTE STAY)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 600/2017 (X)

(IA No.64090/2017-EX-PARTE STAY and FOR ADMISSION and IA No.64092/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

W.P.(C) No. 597/2017 (X)

(IA No.63956/2017-EX-PARTE STAY and FOR ADMISSION and IA No.63958/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

W.P.(C) No. 588/2017 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.63103/2017-EX-PARTE STAY and IA No.63104/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

W.P.(C) No. 592/2017 (X)

IA No. 63287/2017 - EX-PARTE STAY)

Date : 21-04-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR
Mr. S.N.Bhat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D.P.chaturvedi, Adv.
Mrs. Anuradha Mutatkar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

We find no reason to entertain the writ petitions. One of the claims in this proceeding is for a declaration that the proviso to

Section 7(3) of the Karnataka Professional Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Determination of Fee) Act, 2016 is violative of the petitioners rights under Articles 14, 19 (1)(g), 29 and 30 of the Constitution. The record shows that as far as the other claims are concerned, the present petitions have been rendered academic.

In these circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in continuing to entertain these proceedings. The petitioners are granted liberty to raise the question of validity of proviso to Section 7(3) in appropriate proceedings, if so advised.

The Writ Petitions are disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

(HARSHITA UPPAL)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(MATHEW ABRAHAM)
COURT MASTER (NSH)