

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13908/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-08-2021 in WP No. 1741/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

TITAGARH WAGONS LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MUMBAI METROPOLITAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.113215/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

Date : 17-09-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATHFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Kripal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashish Verma, Adv.
Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra, AOR
Ms. Poonam Atey, Adv.
Mr. Arnav Sanyal, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Ld. SG
Mr. Milind Sathe, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Saket Mone, Adv.
Mr. Subit Chakrabarti, Adv.
Ms. Priyashree Sharma PH, Adv.
Mr. Devansh Shah, Adv.
Ms. Rushali Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, AOR

Mr. Sidharth Bhatnagar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR
Mr. Shaheezad Kazi, Adv.
Mr. Aubert Sebastian, Adv.
Mr. Aishwarya Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Sidhra, Adv.
Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and having perused the material placed on record, we have expressed disinclination to consider interference in the order dated 27.08.2021 in Writ Petition No. 1741 of 2021 whereby the High Court has declined the prayer for interim relief, while admitting the writ petition filed by the present petitioners and while expediting the hearing.

Even though we are not inclined to consider granting interim relief in relation to the pending writ petition but, in the totality of circumstances, it does appear appropriate, as has already been taken note of and provided for by the High Court, that hearing of the petition pending before the High Court be expedited and the matter be taken up for consideration at an early date.

For that matter, Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General and Mr. Sidharth Bhatnagar, learned senior counsel appearing for the contesting respondents, i.e., respondent No. 1 and respondent No. 3 respectively, submit that the counter affidavits on behalf of the respective respondents shall be submitted before the High Court within a week from today. Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would not require more than three days for filing rejoinder affidavit after receiving the copies of counter affidavits.

In view of the aforesaid submissions on behalf of the parties, who are ready to proceed expeditiously in the matter by completing all their pleadings and looking to the subject matter, it does appear appropriate to provide that after completion of pleadings, the petitioners may request the High Court for taking up the writ petition for final hearing at an early date and as expeditiously as possible.

We hope and trust that on such request being made and looking to the subject matter as also overall circumstances, the High Court shall give due consideration to the request and make an endeavour to take up petition for final hearing at the earliest.

The special leave petition and pending applications stand disposed of with the observations foregoing.

(POOJA SHARMA)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR