

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO.4 AND M.A. NO.289/2017

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 4740/2017

RAM NARESH SINGH

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

BOKARO STEEL LTD. & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsels for the applicant(s) and perused the relevant material.
2. Neither the I.A. seeking modification of the final order dated 31.03.2017 passed by this Court in Civil Appeal No.4740/2017 is maintainable nor, on merits, the I.A. filed by the workmen insofar as the claim of interest is concerned is tenable.
3. The order of release of gratuity was passed on grounds of equity in a situation where the gratuity was offered as security. The present is not a case where withholding of gratuity amounted to withholding the retirement benefits.

4. Insofar as the application (M.A. No.289/2017) filed by the Bokaro Steel Ltd., the order dated 31.03.2017 is self explanatory and needs no further clarification.

5. I.A. No.4/2017 and M.A. No.289/2017 are disposed of accordingly.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 30, 2017

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.3

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SI.A. NO.4 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 4740/2017

RAM NARESH SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BOKARO STEEL LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

I.A. NO. 4 OF 2017 (APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION)

WITH

MA 289/2017 in C.A. No. 4740/2017 (XVII)
(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.57913/2017-MODIFICATION)

Date : 30-10-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Parties

Mr. Aditya Ranjan, AOR

Mr. Sachin Datta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Sagar, AOR
Mr. Rana Prashant, Adv.
Mr. Anmol, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RHeard learned counsels for the applicant(s)
and perused the relevant material.Neither the I.A. seeking modification of
the final order dated 31.03.2017 passed by this
Court in Civil Appeal No.4740/2017 is maintainable
nor, on merits, the I.A. filed by the workmen
insofar as the claim of interest is concerned is
tenable.

The order of release of gratuity was passed on grounds of equity in a situation where the gratuity was offered as security. The present is not a case where withholding of gratuity amounted to withholding the retirement benefits.

Insofar as the application (M.A. No.289/2017) filed by the Bokaro Steel Ltd., the order dated 31.03.2017 is self explanatory and needs no further clarification.

I.A. No.4/2017 and M.A. No.289/2017 are disposed of accordingly.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
COURT MASTER

(ASHA SONI)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file.)