

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 6489-6490/2015

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/07/2014 in WA No. 1244/2013 31/07/2014 in WA No. 356/2013 passed by the High Court Of M.P. At Jabalpur)

M.P. HOUSING BOARD AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRADIP KUMAR SINGH AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With application for exemption from filing OT)

Date : 13/01/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sushil Dutt Salwan, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Dayal, Adv.
Ms. Payal Dayal, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashwani Kumar Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Pulkit T., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Civil Appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(HEMALATHA MOHAN)
SR.P.A.(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on file)

adjudicated the dispute and the question of adequacy of the amount deposited by the respondent and what amount was alleged to be outstanding as per the M.P. Housing Board. This exercise has not been done either by the Single Judge or by the Division Bench of the High Court. However, in order to cut short the litigation we set aside the order passed by the Division Bench of the High Court, who uninfluenced by the order passed by the Ld. Single Judge shall consider the case afresh. M.P. Housing Board to file fresh calculation with the High Court of the amount outstanding as aforesaid within a period of six weeks. The High Court is requested to decide the matter afresh in accordance with law after hearing the parties.

With the above observations the appeals are disposed of.

.....J.
(ARUN MISHRA)

.....J.
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 13, 2017