

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .1312/2017

DR. TANUSHREE MATHUR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAHUL MATHUR

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.70174/2017-STAY APPLICATION and IA
No.70175/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 28-08-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Abhinav Ramkrishna, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It was submitted by the learned counsel that there is an objection as to the jurisdiction. It is open to the petitioner to raise the objection as to the jurisdiction before the court where the case is filed.

No ground for transfer is made out. The transfer petition is accordingly, dismissed. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

However, in view of the order of this Court dated 9.03.2017 in T.P.(C) No. 1912 of 2014 titled 'Krishna Veni Nagam Vs. Harish Nagam' the petitioner is at liberty to avail the remedy of participating in proceedings by video conferencing and also claim expenditure when petitioner(wife) is required to travel. In case she wants mediation, the court shall make an endeavour in that direction too.

The concerned Family Court(s) would take appropriate steps in accord, with the letter and spirit of this Order.

(B. PARVATHI)
COURT MASTER (SH)(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
BRANCH OFFICER