

ITEM NO.1501 Court 7 (Video Conferencing) SECTION X  
 (For judgment)  
 S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 1088/2020

AJIT MOHAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, NATIONAL CAPITAL  
 TERRITORY OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

( [ HEARD BY HON'BLE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, HON'BLE DINESH MAHESHWARI  
 AND HON'BLE HRISHIKESH ROY ,JJ. ] )

Date : 08-07-2021 This petition was called on for pronouncement of  
 judgment today.

For Petitioner(s)  
 No.1 & 2

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Vivek Reddy, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Raghav Seth, Adv.  
 Mr. Shravya Reddy, Adv.  
 Ms. Chetna Rai, Adv.  
 Mr. Mayank Pandey, AOR  
 Mr. Aditya Jalan, Adv.  
 Mr. Manoj Reddy, Adv.  
 Ms. Durga Priya Manda, Adv.  
 Ms. Vanya Chhabra, Adv.  
 Mr. Bharat Makkar, Adv.

R-3

Mr. Arvind Datar, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Vijayendra Pratap Singh, Adv.  
 Mr. Abhijnan Jha, Adv.  
 Ms. Preeti Kolluri, Adv.  
 Mr. Rahul Unnikrishnan, Adv.  
 Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Prashanto Sen, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR  
 Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.  
 Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR  
 Mr. Prashant Manchanda, Adv.  
 Mr. Shourya Dasgupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Bharat Gupta, Adv.

For Intervener(s)

Mr. Shadan Farasat, Adv.  
 Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR  
 Mr. Prashant Manchanda, Adv.  
 Ms. R. Radha, Adv.  
 Ms. Aditi Sharma, Adv.

Miss Ravleen Chhabra, Adv.  
 Mr. M. Shaz Khan, Adv.  
 Mr. Udit Atul Kokanthankar, Adv.  
 Ms. Aishwarya Devi, Adv.

UOI Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG  
 Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.  
 Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
 Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.  
 Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.  
 Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.  
 Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, Adv.

R 5 & 6 Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, AOR  
 Mr. Suyodhan Byrapaneni, Adv.  
 Ms. Filza Moonis, Adv.

GNCTD Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Kishan Kaul pronounced the judgment of the Bench comprising His Lordship, Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dinesh Maheshwari and Hon'ble Mr. Justice Hrishikesh Roy.

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed judgment.

I.A. No. 75528 and 75529

Taken on board.

Applications are not liable to be entertained both on account of the fact that they are being made on the anvil of the judgment and more than two months after the alleged cause arose, as also by the reason of the fact that we have left the effect of the subsequent amendments and notifications open as the legal challenge is pending before the Delhi High Court.

The applications are dismissed.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]  
 ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]  
 COURT MASTER (NSH)

[ Signed reportable judgment is placed on the file ]