

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION(C) No. 593/2020

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5814/2011

KUMARI AARTI SAXENA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MALAY SHRIVASTAVA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have perused the counter affidavit and the benefits have been made available to the petitioner though learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there are some more benefits admissible. This being the position, learned counsel for the petitioner may inform the counsel for the respondent(s) in writing specifically about what are the other admissible benefits in the rules that the petitioner is entitled to and the respondent(s) will take a call on the same and communicate the same to the petitioner within a maximum period of eight weeks of the letter being sent by the counsel for the petitioner. The claim of the counsel for the petitioner seeking regularization of the post would also be examined in this conspectus.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

.....J.
[DINESH MAHESHWARI]

.....J.
[HRISHIKESH ROY]

NEW DELHI;
JANUARY 04, 2021.

