

ITEM NO.6 Court 1 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).13653/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-04-2021 in CRP No.676/2021 passed by the High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad)

M/S BHARAT AUTO MOBILES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.110715/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 13-09-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv.
Dr. Iqbal Oosman, Adv.
Mr. Kadiyala Ravi Chandra Mohan, Adv.
Mrs. Srilakshmi Velicheti, Adv.
Mrs. Divya Mishra, Adv.
Mrs. Suresh Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and carefully perusing the material placed on record, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court whereby the High Court dismissed the revision filed by the petitioner challenging his eviction.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....2/-

Even though counsel for the petitioner has not prayed for time for his client to vacate the suit premises yet taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is in possession of the same for a long time, we consider it just and appropriate to grant three months' time to the petitioner to vacate the suit premises subject to filing of usual undertaking in the Registry of this Court within a period of four weeks from today.

Consequent upon dismissal of the special leave petition, pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER (NSH)