

ITEM NO.801

COURT NO.11

Corrected copy  
SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 1902/2020

UNION BANK OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJAT INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

( [ HEARD BY : HON. DEEPAK GUPTA AND HON. ANIRUDDHA BOSE, JJ. ] )

Date : 04-03-2020 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Mentioned by: Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr. Adv.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. O. P. Gaggar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR  
Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, AOR

UPON being mentioned by the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On being mentioned it is pointed out that in the (Non-Reportable) judgment pronounced on 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2020, in paragraphs 4, 6 and 11 it is written that when the property in question was put to auction, the highest bidders for the property were respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are the leaseholders.

In Paragraph 4, the sentence "The property was put to auction and respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are the alleged leaseholders in possession of the property are the highest bidders for a sum of Rs.65.52 crores." shall stand deleted and shall now read as under:

'The property which is in possession of respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are alleged to be the leaseholders was put to auction and respondent no. 6 was the highest bidder for a sum of Rs.66.52 crores.'

In Paragraph 6, Line 5 the words 'respondent nos. 2 and 3' and in Paragraph 11, Line 7 the words 'respondent nos. 2 and 3' shall be read as 'respondent no. 6'.

**(SUMAN WADHWA)**  
**AR CUM PS**

**(ANAND PRAKASH)**  
**BRANCH OFFICER**

ITEM NO.801

COURT NO.11

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 1902/2020

UNION BANK OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJAT INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

( [ HEARD BY : HON. DEEPAK GUPTA AND HON. ANIRUDDHA BOSE, JJ. ] )

Date : 04-03-2020 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Mentioned by: Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr. Adv.  
For Appellant(s)

Mr. O. P. Gaggar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR  
Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, AOR

UPON being mentioned by the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On being mentioned it is pointed out that in the judgment pronounced on 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2020, in paragraphs 4, 6 and 11 it is written that when the property in question was put to auction, the highest bidders for the property were respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are the leaseholders.

In Paragraph 4, the sentence "The property was put to auction and respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are the alleged leaseholders in possession of the property are the highest bidders for a sum of Rs.65.52 crores." shall stand deleted and shall now read as under:

'The property which is in possession of respondent nos. 2 and 3 who are alleged to be the leaseholders was put to auction and respondent no. 6 was the highest bidder for a sum of Rs.66.52 crores.'

In Paragraph 6, Line 5 the words 'respondent nos. 2 and 3' and in Paragraph 11, Line 7 the words 'respondent nos. 2 and 3' shall be read as 'respondent no. 6'.

**(SUMAN WADHWA)**  
**AR CUM PS**

**(ANAND PRAKASH)**  
**BRANCH OFFICER**