

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO. _____ OF 2025

(@ Diary No.17671 of 2024)

(in C.A. No.9035 of 2013)

SAVITRI BAI

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SAVITRI BAI & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. We have gone through the review petition and the connected papers. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the Judgment/order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.
3. As such, the Review Petition is dismissed.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(SANJAY KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;
20th February, 2025.

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s).17671/2024

SAVITRI BAI

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SAVITRI BAI & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 93596/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION

Date : 20-02-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

By Circulation

Upon perusing the record the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Defects ignored.
3. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VINOD KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)